



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/539,972	03/31/2000	Boris S. Elman	99-837	99-837 2133	
32127 75	590 03/09/2006		EXAMINER		
VERIZON CORPORATE SERVICES GROUP INC.			AVELLINO, JOSEPH E		
C/O CHRISTIA 600 HIDDEN F	AN R. ANDERSEN RIDGE DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MAILCODE HQEO3H14		2143			
IRVING, TX	75038		DATE MAILED: 03/09/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ev esa	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advison, Astion			
Advisory Action	09/539,972	ELMAN ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joseph E. Avellino	2143	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence ado	lress
THE REPLY FILED <u>03 January 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS .			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	owing replies: (1) an amendment, after otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply makes	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailir			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig er than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of the appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further compared to the proposed in the proposed	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a			the issues for
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		colou diairris.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	illowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-8,16 and 17</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 3. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but the control of the control	ut before or on the date of filing a N	otice of Appeal will be	at he entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appery and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control of the contr		-	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by the arguments are not persuasive, see continuation she	eet.		nce because:
12 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	JPTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pager N	10(8)	

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

13. Other: see attached interview summary..

Applicant's arguments dated January 6, 2006 have been fully considered but are not persuasive.

In the remarks APplicant argues, in substance, that (1) Bhrali merely determines the number of hops between a computer and a server without determining or distinguishing the types of network components that span the computer and the server and therefore does not teach or suggest the actual circuit path information having heterogeneous network componenets associated with the record and the network componenets support a specific service for the customer.

As to point (1) the Office respectfully disagrees. Applicant is invited to view Figure 2C where each network component (231-236) is displayed in the graphical user interface. Regardless whether or not Bhralli determines the type of network component, it does, in fact, determine the circuit path information component (i.e. modem, intranet, ISP, etc.) which provides the service to the user. By this rationale, the rejection is maintained.

